

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/982,237	10/19/2001	Hartwig Lange	214799US0CONT	8220
22850	7590 05/12/2003			
,	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		EXAMINER	
			GORR, RACHEL F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		pts				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
' Advisory Action	09/982,237	LANGE ET AL.				
The state of the s	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rachel F. Gorr	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 01 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exters of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under				
<ul> <li>(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	onths after the mailing date of the final rej	ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:					
(a)   they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: set		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) $\square$ approved or b) $\square$ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10.⊠ Other: Interview Summary attached.						

Application/Control Number: 09/982,237

Art Unit: 1711

Attachment to Advisory:

The applicants argue that Burkhardt's method won't yield mixtures of only blocked hydrophilic and blocked hydrophobic polyisocyanate. In example 15, after reacting the 1.125 moles of diisocyanate with 2.05 moles of caprolactam, 1.6 wt% of NCO remains unreacted, which is 0.24 moles of NCO, or 21 mole % of the diisocyanate would be partially blocked and 79 mole % would be fully blocked. After reaction with dimethylolpropionic acid, a hypothetical mixture of 79 moles of fully blocked hydrophophobic diisocyanate (mole wt. 540) and 11.5 moles of hydrophilic blocked diisocyanate (molecular weight 988). This mixture would be about 21 wt. % blocked hydrophilic diisocyanate and about 45 wt.% solids in water. When an amount of blocking agent that can block more than 50 % of the NCO groups, but not enough to fully block, is added to a diisocyanate, a mixture of completely blocked and half blocked results. This is the same as the applicant's invention

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel F. Gorr whose telephone number is 703-308-3608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

R.G. May 8, 2003

> RACHEL GORR PRIMARY EXAMINER